Dennis Winn, Seattle, Wash.

Dear Sir:

In regards to Ray Krantz, will say "he was a good worker," but felt a little important over his job as reporter to the Union. He spent part of two days investigating a small creek that runs into the Lagoon below camp. He thought it was a spawning stream for red salmon, but found no salmon there. This was in the early part of the season. He used his kodak around the weir taking pictures of dead fish that drifted back on the weir and also of some holes in the weir, after a S.W. storm.

On one occasion he was taking some shots of a red salmon that had died from being gilled. I asked him what he was doing and he said he had a salmon that had been gilled in the wire of the wing below the approach of the weir. I asked him if he had taken it out of the wire and he said no, that Wes Haynes had told him the salmon was gilled in the wire. I felt a little sore and said to Krantz, "Like h--1 that salmon was gilled in a two inch mesh." It looked to me as the Krantz wanted to make good on what the fisherman have said about weirs killing so many salmon. I asked Haynes about it and he said "the fish he saw was a small whitefish and no salmon."

Will say that Krantz knew nothing of salmon when he first came to the camp could not tell the species. I showed him the different salmon and explained all I could about each species of salmon. I did not get to read his log to the Union so I cannot say what his report contains about the weir. He told the boys his log was private property and that he wanted it left alone and also that he was not to be consulted in regard to his work for the Union.

Will say he was a good tallyman. I cannot see where a man is working for two partys can give satisfaction to both partys, the Bureau and the Union. Krantz wrote me that I could read his report at the Union Headquarters with permission of the Sec.

Henry W. McFadden